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Preface

Several main intriguing questions are of major interest studying the prehistory of the early humans. After the spread of Homo erectus from Africa northwards into Europe, and eastwards into Asia, the next challenging enigma regards the dispersion of Neanderthals from Europe to the east. Despite the fact that skeletal remains of Homo neanderthalensis are rare in the Middle East, the Levalloisian Mousterian lithic technology that characterises the Neanderthal chipped stone industries is known indeed, starting from the Iberian Peninsula, to Central Asia.

Anatomical distinctiveness and relative early divergence from other Homo sp., supported by mtDNA evidence, suggest that Neanderthal lineage probably began its evolution as far back as 600 ky ago1, although classical Neanderthals are considered only those living during the last Ice Age in Europe, from roughly 100 ky to 35 ky ago, or more broadly in Eurasia from some 200 ky, “before mysteriously disappearing some 28,000 years ago”2.

According to recent climatic reconstruction3, during the Pre-Hengelo cold/dry events of the OIS 3, southern Europe was covered with a grass steppe. This means that two main routes were possibly utilised by human groups to reach the easternmost Eurasian regions and, from there, the Indian Subcontinent: the land bridge connecting the Balkans to Anotolia, and/or the corridor along the northern Black Sea shore, although also a southern route, across Arabia4, should be taken into consideration, given the increasing evidence of Palaeolithic discoveries along the Yemen-Oman coastal belt5, which suggest that the Middle Palaeolithic human dispersal was much more complicated than previously expected6. However, a question mark constantly recurs on the maps depicting our current knowledge of the Indian Subcontinent7 in relationship to the spread of Homo sp.

The present paper is an attempt to discuss the current evidence of human occupation in Lower Sindh (Pakistan) during the Middle Pleistocene, which is demonstrated by the recovery of chipped stone assemblages with evident Levallois characteristics.

Middle Pleistocene lithic technology in the Indian Subcontinent

The research carried out during the last decade in the Indian Subcontinent and Arabian Peninsula has greatly contributed to achieving a better

1 KRINGS et al. 1997.
2 ZILHÃO 2010a.
3 DAVIES et al. 2000.
4 ROSE 2007; ARMITAGE et al. 2011.
5 ROSE 2004; AMIRKHANOV 2006.
6 PETRAGLIA 2007.
7 HENKE 2006, Abb. 4
knowledge of the Middle Palaeolithic in the study region, and answering a few questions as to the origin, and suggested provenance, of the Middle Palaeolithic assemblages\(^8\), their chronology\(^9\), variable structural composition and cultural affiliation\(^10\).

Following a traditional view, in the Indian Subcontinent “the Acheulian slowly evolved into the Middle Palaeolithic by shedding some of the tool types and by incorporating new forms and new techniques”\(^11\). Given its characteristics, some authors do not include it in the Mousterian complexes\(^12\), while others attribute the Middle Palaeolithic assemblages of peninsular India to the Nevasian\(^13\). Nevertheless, where long sequences are known, the Middle Palaeolithic layers are stratified between Early Palaeolithic (Acheulian) and Late (Upper) Palaeolithic (so-called microlithic) complexes\(^14\), following a sequential terminology proposed more than 50 years ago\(^15\). They have been recently subdivided into three main developmental phases\(^16\), from most of which the typical Levalloisian reduction technique is almost absent.

According to the few absolute dates so far available, Middle Palaeolithic complexes are represented in the region since roughly 150 ky, while the Late (Upper) Palaeolithic ones make their appearance at least just after 40 ky from the present\(^17\), although the dispersal of modern individuals, following a coastal route, is suggested to have taken place some 10 ky before\(^18\). The problem related to the makers of the Middle Palaeolithic tools is still debated\(^19\), mainly because of the absence of fossil human remains of this period in the entire Subcontinent\(^20\).

One of the most important issues consists of the south-easternmost spread of the Neanderthal Levalloisian assemblages that is so far badly defined. Although typical Levalloisian Mousterian industries are known from south-eastern Arabia\(^21\), Iran\(^22\), Afghanistan\(^23\), and former Soviet Central Asia\(^24\), characteristic Levalloisian assemblages are almost unknown in the Indian Subcontinent, except for a few surface sites in Lower Sindh and the Indus Valley, which have been discussed in a recent paper\(^25\). Furthermore the more recent studies seem to support the impression that “the early Middle Palaeolithic (or Middle Stone Age) of India and Nepal probably developed indigenously”\(^26\), which suggests the existence of a distinctive boundary between the west and the east marked by the axis of Indus river valley.

**The Levalloisian finds from Lower Sindh**

Levalloisian assemblages are known from a few localities of Lower Sindh (Fig. 1.), the most important of which is Ongar (otherwise known in the literature as Milestone 101\(^27\)), discovered by W.A. Fairservis Jr.\(^28\), and later published by B. Allchin.
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On its limestone terraces she discovered Palaeolithic assemblages and workshops of different periods, among which are Middle Palaeolithic ones\textsuperscript{29}. The area was revisited by A.R. Khan in the early 1970s, when the sites were being destroyed due to the opening of limestone quarries.

\textsuperscript{29} Allchin 1976.
for industrial exploitation. During his rescue visits Professor A.R. Khan collected an impressive quantity of Palaeolithic tools, among which are typical Levalloisian cores, (retouched) points, blades, flakes and different types of scrapers (Figs. 2. and 3.). The above author was the first to signal “the presence of the Levalloisian industry in the area beyond any doubt”\(^{30}\) in Sindh.

\(^{30}\) KHAN 1979b, 80.
After studying some of the finds collected by A.R. Khan in the Museum of Prehistory and Palaeogeography, Karachi University, one of the authors (PB) systematically surveyed the Ongar region between 2005 and 2008\textsuperscript{31}. Although it was impossible to define the precise locations from which A.R. Khan collected Levalloisian implements, identical assemblages, characterised by a thick, white patina, were recovered from the upper profile of the terraces of a seasonal stream that flows eastwards, from the limestone mesas down to the village and the national road (\textit{Fig. 4}).

These latter finds, which are represented exclusively by Levallois flakes and blades, are also covered with a thick white patina, although they show a few concassage detachments due to a certain shifting from their original deposition (\textit{Fig. 5}).

Other typical, small Levalloisian assemblages, or isolated finds, come from the region immediately to the east of Karachi: among them are the Mulri Hills, Landhi, Deh Konkar\textsuperscript{32} and the Laki Range\textsuperscript{33}. One more characteristic Levallois flake was found on the surface of a limestone terrace, close to the Baloch village of Arzi along the national road, north of Hyderabad\textsuperscript{34}.

All the Levallois assemblages so far recovered from Lower Sindh come from the region west of the course of the Indus. Although other Palaeolithic sites are known from this province, the richest of which are the Rohri Hills\textsuperscript{35}, it is important to point out that none of the Palaeolithic industries from these latter sites ever yield any typical Levallois tool.

\textbf{Discussion}

Recent research carried out on the skeletal fossil remains of Europe strongly supports the designation of Neanderthals as a separate species, i.e. \textit{Homo neanderthalensis}, which gave no contribution to the evolution of modern Europeans\textsuperscript{36}. Also from the point of view of the lithic techno-typology and the use of raw materials, an abrupt change can be noticed in Eurasia at the onset of the Aurignacian, which has no connections with the Levalloisian-Mousterian techno-typology, supporting the theory of the replacement of Neanderthals with anatomically modern humans. Although the situation is still far from being clear and is rather controversial\textsuperscript{37}, if we move to the east, the picture is even more complicated, due to the absence of human fossil remains and limited fieldwork. The archaeological evidence gathered in the last years by the Italian expedition in Sindh has contributed to fill the gap, and shed some light on
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the south-easternmost spread of the Neanderthal Levalloisian.

The Levalloisian assemblages discovered in Sindh, which display very characteristic features, among which are faceted and "chapeau de gendarme" butts, can be attributed to Middle Palaeolithic human activity in the area, most probably related with the south-easternmost spread of Homo neanderthalensis. This species might have reached the Indian Subcontinent either from the Anatolia-Caucasus-Mesopotamia corridor, or across the southern regions of the Arabia Peninsula, where Levalloisian, Middle Palaeolithic sites are known to date\(^{38}\). The reason why their spread most probably did not go beyond the Indus delta might derive from a geographical barrier, as it has already been suggested for the dispersal of modern humans along the western coastline of the Indian Subcontinent\(^{39}\).
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