



Tamás Kozma et. al.

Learning Regions in Hungary: From Theories to Realities

Abstracts

University of Debrecen
Center for Higher Education Research
and Development Hungary (CHERD-H)



2015



Tamás Kozma et. al.

Learning Regions in Hungary: From Theories to Realities

Summary of the results of
the LeaRn Project



2015

Tamás Kozma et. al.

**Learning Regions in Hungary:
From Theories to Realities**

Summary of the results of
the LeaRn Project

© Authors

ISBN 978-963-12-3965-2

Published by

University of Debrecen

Department of Andragogy

Web: ni.unideb.hu

and

Hungarian Educational Research Association

Network of Adult and Community Education

Web: hera.org.hu

Supported by

**Hungarian Scientific Research Fund “Learning Regions in Hungary:
From Theories to Realities”**

(Number: K101867)



Contents

1. Theoretical Backgrounds_____5

Tamás Kozma

1.1. The Dimensions of Lifelong Learning: The Four Pillars

1.2. The Spatial Frames of Lifelong Learning: Learning regions, cities and communities

1.3. From Theory to Reality: The LeaRn Project

2. Pillar I: Formal Learning: Learning in the School System_____9

Ágnes Engler and Zoltán Györgyi

2.1. Pillar I and the Learning Region: Theoretical Considerations

2.2. Traditional Learners (School and Higher Education)

2.3. Non-Traditional Learners (Re-entry students, migrant and Roma students)

2.4. Learners in the VET System

2.5. Learners in Formal Education: Statistical Indicators

3. Pillar II: Non-formal Learning: Learning outside the school_____13

Zoltán Györgyi and Edina Márkus

3.1. Pillar II and the Learning Region: Theoretical Considerations

3.2. General Adult Education

3.3. VET Outside the School System (Work based learning)

3.4. Non-Formal Learning: Statistical Indicators

4. Pillar III: Cultural Learning_____15

Erika Juhász

4.1. Pillar III and the Learning Region: Theoretical Considerations

4.2. Cultural Learning by Music Activities

4.3. Cultural Learning by the Media

4.4. Cultural Learning by Sports Activities

4.5. Cultural Learning: Statistical Indicators

5. Pillar IV: Community Learning_____17

Katalin R Forray

5.1. Pillar IV and the Learning Region: Theoretical Considerations

5.2. Community Learning in Small Towns

5.3. Community Learning in Immigrant Communities

5.4. Community Learning in NGOs

5.5. Community Learning: Statistical Indicators

6. The Territorial Characteristics of the Four Pillars_____20

Károly Teperics

6.1. Territorial Characteristics of Lifelong Learning: History and Methodology

6.2. Territorial Characteristics of Pillar I: Formal Learning

6.3. Territorial Characteristics of Pillar II: Non-formal Learning

6.4. Territorial Characteristics of Pillar III: Cultural Learning

6.5. Territorial Characteristics of Pillar IV: Community Learning

6.6. Territorial Characteristics of the Four Pillars: The ‘LeaRn Index’

7. Learning Regions in Hungary: Summary_____24

Tamás Kozma

Chapter 1

Theoretical Backgrounds

1.1. The Dimensions of Lifelong Learning: The Four Pillars

In the last fourteen or fifteen years education has been extended from elementary education through secondary and tertiary education up to adult education and training. This robust expansion has prepared a shift of paradigm in educational sciences. The shift had both quantitative and qualitative aspects, therefore one of the outcomes of it caused fundamental approach change in the process of knowledge acquisition. This is the situation when in the process of information and knowledge acquisition learning become the main actor instead of and sometimes besides that education. Even if, the institutional bases have implied that education and training is the basis of knowledge acquisition process, but in reality learning become the catalyst. The social, economical and technological changes also supported the alteration from education to learning.

Thus, learning has become a horizontal element of the human kind activities. The immanent human capability (learning) explored in those scenes where formerly learning was not a human activity and social phenomena.

These changes have challenged the local and also the global actors, created some new terms, expressions for this new learning phenomena: lifelong learning, learning through life, life wide learning etc. Global organizations such as Unesco, OECD turn to and tried to analyze this situation from their point of view. At some milestone of this exploration process, the organization (also state and governmental organizations) have tried to grasp the meaning of this process. To do this exercise, statistical and territorial data seemed the most appropriate and adequate tools to grab at least some parts of the learning phenomena happening in a very diverse context and various forms.

1.2. The Spatial Frames of Lifelong Learning: Learning Regions, Cities and Communities

The history of the term 'learning region' goes back to the 70' and to the 90's of the last century. The interpretation of the term varies according to the professional background of the authors operating with 'learning region' from different aspects of different sciences. Rooting in various disciplines is leading to the fact that the term covers a variety, a diversity of theoretical concepts of the learning region. The authors of the term are significant researchers and professors of geography, geographic economy and innovation studies. All the different concepts of the learning region theories emphasize the importance of partnership and co-operation between stakeholders in a given region, the key role of universities as innovation partners, the utilization of local knowledge and the support of bottom-up activities, so that the concept of learning region easily became a flagship of the university-based region/city development activities, the lifelong learning movement and offered an appropriate environment for research projects targeting local development with a wide range of regional instruments.

There are certain elements, building blocks of the concepts, even citing learning regions (LR), learning cities (LCi) or learning communities (LCo), which can be considered constant elements and factors. All these concepts emphasize the importance of partnership and co-operation between stakeholders in a given region, the key role of universities as innovation partners, the utilization of local knowledge and the support of bottom-up activities in the development process. At the same time, all these spatial units own special characters which makes possible to distinguish them from each other. In spite of the fact, that the term 'learning region' was rather flexible since its first appearance, the failure of some large development project and the changing policy environment could not ensure a supporting environment for learning region projects any longer and required a new, more easily 'digestible', more concentrated spatial approach for the development works,

which led to the emergence of the learning city concepts. A very important policy issue contributed to this shift from the region level to the city level: as the concept of regional equalization has lost its dominance in the regional development process, large cities with strong university connection - like regional poles -, came into the focus of policy interests as the new targets of regional development processes, and as an evidence of this process, learning cities came in place of learning regions both in policy and project level. In recent years, along with the continuation of the discourse on learning regions and learning cities, growing attention is being paid to learning communities, as well. Studying the learning regions the research came to the conclusion that learning "ern" to the formation, to the development of learning regions. Based on the presentation of different approaches to the learning communities, research concluded that the existence of learning communities may represent the initial point, the "communities may form a necessary but not sufficient enough condition to the development of a learning region. I suspect that each learning community type - depending on the type - can contribute in varying degrees to the formation of learning regions. According to the latest development plans of the EU, all kinds of learning - from the first steps to the highest standard, from the formal to non-formal and informal learning - is viewed as valuable and which enriches the community. Interest and capacity of citizens related to learning considered as the most valuable resources of the learning community.

1.3. From Theory to Reality: The LeaRn Project

LeaRn (Learning Regions in Hungary) as a research projects aims to describe communities (villages, towns and cities), territories and regions that can be called the spatial centres of learning. (Learning in this sense is meant as lifelong and lifewide learning, see the four pillars of learning above, 1.1.) To do so we used partly the terminology of LLL and partly the terminology of the spatial frames of LLL (regional, territorial and community-based LLL, see above, 1.2).

LeaRn as a research project followed two main models. They are the German Learning Atlas (Deutscher Lernatlas, sponsored by the Bertelsmann Foundation) and the Canadian Composite Learning Index, the product of the Canadian Council of Learning. Both have the ambitions of ‘making learning tangible’, since learning is a social process which embraces the entire human life. Both projects followed the aim to compare territorial units of the given societies by using statistical indicators of the territorial units, their populations and their learning activities. The German Learning Atlas suggested us to develop a geographical map of learning in Hungary. The Canadian Composite Learning Index, the model also for the German Learning Atlas guided us to the learning indicators and helped us developing geographical units of learning in the broad sense.

We followed the models mentioned in three steps. a) We searched information on LLL in four dimensions: formal learning (learning in school activities), non-formal learning (learning activities outside the school), cultural learning (learning in leisure time activities), community learning (learning in social and community activities). b) On the basis of the research in those four dimensions, indicators have been developed and collected for statistical analyses. c) The results of statistical analyses have been represented on geographical maps.

The major findings of the LeaRn Project is a new ‘Geographical Atlas of Learning’ in Hungary. Former maps of education usually covered the figures of the system (the network of schools and institutions), the schooling of the population, the qualification of the labour force and the like. The LeaRn project, however, produced a more embraced ‘atlas of learning’ which presents the different learning activities in the society and which, therefore, highlights the various connections learning and the socio-economic development in the country.

Chapter 2

Pillar I: Formal Learning

Learning in the school system

2.1. Pillar I and the Learning Region: Theoretical Considerations

The formal learning includes all types of learning which take place in the educational system within an organized framework and which end with a state recognized certificate. In this part of the LeaRn research will present the structure of the Hungarian educational system with data. From this structure is highlighted two educational degrees: secondary and higher education. The reason is that the strongest relationship between the formal learning and the learning region are at this two degrees. (The vocational educational training is meant training exclusively for school teaching in our study.) The traditional and the non-traditional students at the secondary and tertiary level of educational system will be examined.

2.2. Traditional Learners

We try to give an overview about students' academic values, career-paths and educational plans. This phenomena can be interpreted in the world of mass higher education. Used techniques are clusters, crosstabs and means. The results show that the patterns of the academic values are more pragmatic and there is a contact between the educational plans and the HE-conceptions.

The compulsory education age is 6 to 16 years in Hungary. The learners of the educational system attend the kindergarten first, the pre-school year is obligatory. There are different types of the primary schools with 4, 6 or 8 years. The secondary schools: high school, vocational school with baccalaureate or without baccalaureate. There are state schools, religious schools, foundation school and private school. The students can choose according to their abilities and opportunities. At this part of the study we try

to follow the educational system from the state of an traditional (common) student.

At the level of higher education the following stand points were used: the mass higher educational system in Hungary, pragmatic/practical approach to the HE system, presence of non traditional students. The effects of this procession HE-conceptions, attitude to learning.

We try to give an overview about students' academic values, career-paths and educational plans, we try to map the patterns of the motivation in HE institutions. This phenomena can be interpreted in the world of mass higher education. Used techniques are clusters, crosstabs and means. The results show that the patterns of the academic values are more pragmatic and there is a contact between the educational plans and the HE-conceptions.

2.3. Non-traditional Learners

Theory and statistics: adult learners, motivation for learning in adulthood, reasons for the drop-out rate, part-time students, heterogeneity in Higher Education. The number of participants in formal education by level of education, the ratio of adult learners according to the qualification and to the economic activity, the ratio of full-time students in Higher Education. Empirical research: primary and secondary motivations of re-entry students, obstacles and difficulties in learning, regional bonding of the adult learners according to the results of cluster analysis,

We present the most important tendencies of migrant and roma students' participation in public and higher education. We apply Tinto's and Hurtado's theory about integration of migrant (international) and roma students for our qualitative research.

First of all there will be defined the concept of non-traditional learners. There will be examined the NT learners in all level of the Hungarian educational

system with special focus on migrant and roma students and on there-entrant students (adult learners).

We present the most important tendencies of these students' participation in education. We examine their motivation for learning, obstacles and difficulties in learning, the reasons for the drop-out rate. We present the number of participants in formal education by level of education, the ratio of learners according to the qualification and to the economic activity.

2.4. Learners in the VET System

Nowadays vocational education is the main field of LLL, because everybody needs to renew his/her vocational knowledge to save his/her position on the labour market. According to statistical data most of inhabitants in Hungary mainly learn for a new vocation or new labour market competences. Not only people can improve their position in that way, but vocational learning occasion can also give new possibilities to the cities and regions: the economy demands well-educated workers, experts, they offer them well-paid jobs, and so both industry and services and of course the whole region can develop. In Hungary, there are big differences between the regions in possibilities to give vocations or vocational competences to their inhabitants. There are small-regions which have traditions in VET outside the schools, and there are other ones, which are very weak on this field and their inhabitants look for education in other cities, other small-regions, and at the end they look for a job and living outside their own regions, which became retarded regions both economically and sociologically. This chapter analyses effects of vocational education on regions in Hungary, and point out some interesting correlation.

2.5. Learners in Formal Education: Statistical Indicators

The following statistical indicators are recommended:

- number of educational degree have been completed,
- student-teacher ratio,
- drop-out rates and the availability of institutions,
- rate of compulsory school graduates in the total population,
- number of people in each age cohort

Chapter 3

Pillar II: Non-formal Learning

Learning outside the school

3.1. Pillar II and the Learning Region: Theoretical Considerations

Non-formal learning covers a wide field of learning outside the school system. It does not mean only vocational education, but several type of general education forms (eg. competence developing courses, hobby courses, etc). It is understanding between experts, that the last ones also have importance, because they can either base vocational education, that they can it facilitate, or they can effect on life quality: people can be rather satisfied, if they can do, what they want to do. There are differences in the countries, what types of them are financially supported by the state and what ones are not. National statistical data collections act on these supports systems. This chapter presents the background of Hungarian non-formal learning system, included its development, its organisation system, the role of state in it, and its legislative background.

3.2. Non-Vocational Adult Learning

The aim of this sub-chapter is to reveal the situation of the Hungarian non-vocational adult learning, both at institutions' and programmes' ends. Principally it concentrates on analysing data of our own data base made from registrations. First of all, we analyse supply; what the potential institutional- and programme supply for adults is, and what differences and deviations can be found in regions with various social and economic backgrounds.

3.3. VET Outside the School System

Nowadays vocational education is main field of LLL, because everybody needs to renew his/her vocational knowledge to save his/her position on the labour market. According to statistical data most of inhabitants in Hungary mainly learn to get a new vocation or new labour market competences. Not only people can improve their position in that way, but vocational learning occasion can give new possibilities to the cities and region: the economy demands well-educated workers, experts, they offer them well-paid jobs, and so both industry and services and of course the whole region is going to develop. In Hungary there are big differences between regions in possibilities to learn vocations or vocational competences. There are small-regions which have traditions in VET outside the schools, and there are other ones, which are very weak on this field and their inhabitants look for education in other cities, other small-regions, and at the end they look a job and living outside their own regions, and it became retarded regions both economically and sociologically. This chapter analyses effects of vocational education on regions in Hungary, and point out some interesting correlation.

3.4. Non-Formal Learning: Statistical Indicators

The statistical indicator of non-formal learning can be difficult to catch, because they cannot be classified into a unified system, like ISCED in the education system. Despite of it, we have many indicators of non-formal learning. In Hungary there is a data collection system, called OSAP 1665, which gives us relatively reliable data, so we can use relevant indicators to describe our non-formal learning system and its functioning. This chapter is about our indicators: which and why they were used, and what do they show us. We know, eg.:

- how many people took part in it,
- how many courses started,
how much they were etc.

Chapter 4

Pillar III: Cultural Learning

4.1. Pillar III and the Learning Region: Theoretical Considerations

The subchapter on theoretical background presents our definition and the major areas of cultural learning: the forms of cultural learning in cultural institutions, by media devices and sports activities. Subsequently the main theoretical approaches to the three areas are summarized making use of Hungarian and international professional literature as well as statistics. When presenting the three fields special attention is given to those studies that utilize research methods similar to learning region research.

4.2. Cultural Learning by Music Activities

Of the areas of cultural learning in cultural institutions we present cultural learning by musical activities. First we outline the possible areas of musical activities (music schools, cultural centres, music associations, etc.). This is followed by the presentation of transfer effects of musical activities and learning music on the individual's life with regard to learning performance, physical activity, and labour skills.

4.3. Cultural Learning by the Media

Of the areas of cultural learning by media devices we present cultural learning through television. First we outline the areas of learning through television (school TV, thematic channels, spontaneous learning, etc.). Thereafter the impact of television on the individual's inquiry and learning is detailed, as well as the connections between education, social standing, and the consumption of television. This abstract has to be broadened by a sentence introducing different types of media, first of all, the internet.

4.4. Cultural Learning by Sports Activities

Of areas of cultural learning by sports activities we present cultural learning performed in sports associations. First we outline ways of learning in sports associations (organized learning, spontaneous learning, indirect education, etc.). This is followed by the presentation of transfer effects of sports activities as regards the individual's life in connection to learning performance, health, and labour skills.

4.5. Cultural Learning: Statistical Indicators

In the final subchapter of the study we present the indicators of cultural learning compiled by us as well as the questionnaire serving the assessment of such. Our empirical research findings are represented by the main results of the research conducted in the public education institutions, television stations and hiking associations of the North Great Plains region, including altogether 112 institutions. As a final summary of the research we present the connections between the region's social and economical development and cultural learning, thus shedding light upon the role of cultural learning in the development of the learning region.

Chapter 5

Pillar IV: Community Learning

5.1. Pillar IV and the Learning Region: Theoretical Considerations

Pillar IV (community learning) also contributes to the development of a learning region. To understand and discover its contribution, a theoretical model of ‘community learning’ is presented here. The model consists of four steps of community learning.

- Step 1 is a challenge from outside that hits the community (e.g. a flood or a sudden modernisation effect etc., see illustrations in part 2).
- Step 2 is the run for new knowledges by which the community could meet the challenge (e.g how to renew the old dam around the town).
- Step 3 is a competition among representatives of old and new knowledges, that is, groups holding various knowledges and competencies.
- Step 4 is the trial of these competeing groups with their competing knowledges. The proof of the knowledge is the successful meeting of the challenge (that is, protecting the community from the flood).
- Step 5 is the restructurisation of the power system in the community: the successful group (holding the successful knowledge and competencies) would take over the power within the community.

Case studies are used to illustrate how community learning contributes to the development of a learning region.

5.2. Community Learning in Small Towns

Small towns in the Trans-Tisza Region with state subsidised collective farms were challenged by the political turn and the new market economy. They turned into stagnation. Those who found new ways from the stagnation became successful and are growing even today. Those who tried to avoid the

new market forces still remained in stagnation and lose population and economic importance's.

5.3. Community Learning in Immigrant Communities

Foreign immigration to the Transdanubian Region. The Transdanubian part of the country used to have traditionally (historically) mixed ethnic (national) communities. After the turn (1989/90) this part of the country has become attractive to various Western immigrants. Their appearance challenged the communities. Communities learned from them and became more successful. In case the new population remained segregated from the majority, the communities remained stagnating.

5.4. Community Learning in Civic Organisations

Civic organisations were challenged by a centralisation policy as the new government came to administration after the parliamentary election of 2010 in Hungary. A traditional policy of the civic organisations was to protest against the new policy which led to less activities and less government supports. An innovative policy of civic organisations was to redirect their activities and participate in programmes organised and subsidised by the central government and the EU (e.g. 'tanoda program', after-school clubs).

5.5. Community Learning: Statistical Indicators

On the basis of the cases of community learning, some indicators are suggested. These indicators emerged from the theoretical considerations as well as the empirical evidences. They are as follow:

- personal income tax, 1 % for civil organisations
- personal income tax 1% for religious organisations
- volunteer fire associations (numbers)
- red cross associations (numbers)
- participation in parliamentary election

- membership in political parties / organisations
- civic organisations (numbers)
- participation in civic organisations (membership)

Chapter 6

The Territorial Characteristics of the Four Pillars

6.1. Territorial Characteristics of Lifelong Learning: History and Methodology

Regional differences can be revealed with the help of the complex index presenting the approach to the various forms of learning and with the representation of the values on the settlement level. If nearby located settlements, or settlement groups, have a positive attitude towards learning (having values better than the national average) then those should be interpreted as the traces of the Hungarian “learning regions”. The purpose of the processing of the settlement level statistical data for Hungary and the attempt of creating an index was to present the relation of the Hungarian settlements to learning. Those settlements, settlement groups and regions were searched and mapped where the results (e.g. educational level) and opportunities (e.g. institutional network, accessibility) of the widely interpreted learning are better (or worse) than the national averages. The indicators selected by the study groups were standardised, ratios were calculated in relation to the population of the settlements which were then converted into percentages and illustrated on maps. Five indicators were selected for each pillar (formal, non-formal, cultural and community learning) to demonstrate their relationship with the settlement hierarchy.

6.2. Territorial Characteristics of Pillar I: Formal Learning

In the case of formal learning, the educational level of the population, expressed by the highest educational level achieved in formal education, mostly portrayed the settlement hierarchy.

We used five indicators to create the index: four indicators were used for the demonstration of the educational level using the Census data (2011) exclusively. The characteristics detected in the case of these four indicators coincide with the expectations resulting from the regional differences.

Relying on the CLI experiences, the accessibility element was also included in the analysis of formal learning providing the fifth indicator. The composite index related to formal learning mostly portrayed the settlement hierarchy.

6.3. Territorial Characteristics of Pillar II: Non-Formal Learning

For the non-formal learning indicators, the institutional system of the adult education served as a basis where the access to the educational infrastructure was a determining factor. For the non-formal learning indicators, the institutional system of the adult education served as a basis where the access to the educational infrastructure was a determining factor. Some of the five indicators used for the creation of the index were related to the location of the institutional system of adult training (NIVE database), while the rest were related to the participants in adult training (OSAP 1665 database). We also found it important to portray the motivation for learning through the financing of the trainings. The indicators characterising the institutional system of adult training give a mosaic picture. The indicators of the population appearing in the adult training confirm the learning tendency. The index characterising the pillar is rather diffused.

6.4. Territorial Characteristics of Pillar III: Cultural Learning

With respect to the indicators of cultural learning, the traces of the settlement hierarchy disappear. In the case of the five indicators presenting the regional differences, most of them give a mosaic picture, and one-third of the settlements cannot be evaluated in this respect. The smaller settlements were most likely to get into position due to the cultural institutional network created in the near past. Some of the data were provided by a governmental database (kultsat.emmi.gov). The other group of data was the result of the analysis of the local media per 1000 inhabitants, in addition to the number of Internet subscriptions. The fifth indicator was related to the participation at events. The index of the pillar reflected the advantages of the smaller settlements most probably resulting from the cultural institutional network

created in the near past. With respect to the indicators of cultural learning, the traces of the settlement hierarchy disappear.

6.5. Territorial Characteristics of Pillar IV: Community Learning

Depicting the indicators of community learning on maps, there is a clear differentiation between the large settlements and the small settlements on the Great Plain. The indicators used were related to the fields of migration balance, religious activity, non-profit organisations, minority self-governments and political activity. The migration balance reflects the economic situation of the settlements, while there are characteristic differences in the values related to religious activity. The picture is made even more interesting when the number of non-profit organisations and minority self-governments per one thousand inhabitants are included. With respect to political activity there is a distinct line on the map with extreme high values in the Northern Transdanubian region. Depicting the indicators of community learning on maps, there is a clear differentiation between the large settlements and the small settlements on the Great Plain.

6.6. Territorial Characteristics of the Four Pillars: The 'LeaRn Index'

The complex indicator was created by averaging the partial indices of the pillars which was named *LeaRn Index* (LI), and proved that it is statistically suitable for demonstrating the phenomenon. Besides, we also made a cluster analysis (using six elements) to confirm the territorial correlations which provided an even more definite picture of the territorial characteristics. The complex indicator was created by averaging the partial indices of the pillars which was named *LeaRn Index* (LI), and proved that it is statistically suitable for demonstrating the phenomenon. The first and the fourth pillars seem to be the most stable and less scattered from among the complex index components. The values of the settlements were depicted on a five-point scale using the “natural break” grouping automatism of the MAPINFO Program. Besides, we also made a cluster analysis (using six elements) to

confirm the territorial correlations which provided an even more definite picture of the territorial characteristics. The neighbourhood analysis was intended to see the territorial relations. Applying the LI we were looking for the answer to the question whether the values of the complex index “move together” or are “independent” from one another. With the help of territorial autocorrelation we also presented the territorial “moving together” of the values received. We found that detailed case study analyses are necessary for the understanding of the components of the territorial characteristics.

Chapter 7

Learning Regions in Hungary: Summary

The main result of the LeaRn Project is the description of three types of spatial frames of LLL in Hungary.

- *Learning regions.* Budapest, the capital of the country, together with its urban area emerge as the leading LR of Hungary. Three sectors--starting from Budapest and leading to the Western, to the South Western and the South Eastern parts of Hungary--could also be called the LRs of Hungary.
- *Learning cities.* Besides those LRs, further parts of the country emerge on the map as smaller or larger individual territories (Debrecen, Miskolc, Szolnok and to a lesser extent, also Pécs). They are typical urban centres with their vicinities. We suggest the label 'learning cities' to them. The meaning of this label is miscellaneous. In any case, however, these territories offer a 'climate' with densed communication networks, more intensive community life and stronger social interactions. Among other, they offer a better infrastructure for all these actions. The 'learning cities' of Hungary offer more jobs, a wider selection of jobs and a differentiated service sector. Last but not least they are the administrative centers of the Hungarian government administration.
- *Learning communities.* The emergence of 'learning communities' are also visible on the map (points in red). These 'points' are communities--mostly separated from one or the other 'learning cities'--still showing signs of economic, political and cultural activities. They can attract their surroundings and could be (at least some of them) the starting points of future 'learning cities'. A future socio-economic developmental policy may concentrate on them.

Literature

Chapter 1

- ANSELIN, L., VARGA A. and ACS Z. (2000): Geographic and sectoral characteristics of academic knowledge externalities. *Papers in Regional Science* 79, 435-445.
- ARBO, P. and BENNEWORTH, P. (2007) *Understanding the Regional Contribution of Higher Education Institutions: A Literature Review*. OECD Education Working Papers, OECD Publishing 9. sz.
- AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL TRAINING AUTHORITY (2000): *Turning on Learning Communities: Report*, Canberra.
- BAJMÓCY Zoltán (2007): *A technológiai inkubáció elmélete és alkalmazási lehetőségei hazánk elmaradott térségeiben*. PhD dolgozat, Szegedi Tudományegyetem Gazdaságtudományi Kar, Szeged.
- BAJMÓCY Z. és LUKOVICS M. (2009): Subregional Economic and Innovation Contribution of Hungarian Universities. In: BAJMÓCZY Z. and LENGYEL I. (eds.): *Regional Competitiveness, Innovation and Environment*, JATE Press, Szeged. 142-161.
- BAZZICHELLI, T. (2008): *Networking: The NET as Artwork*. Digital Aesthetics Research Center, Aarhus University, Aarhus.
- BENKE, M. (2013): A tanuló régió – Kihívás és lehetőség. In: ANDL H. és MOLNÁR-KOVÁCS Zs. (szerk.): *Iskola a társadalmi térben és időben 2011-2012. [II. kötet.]* PTE „Oktatás és Társadalom” Neveléstudományi Doktori Iskola, Pécs. 200-210.
- BENKE, M. (2014): A tanuló régióktól a tanuló közösségekig. In: JUHÁSZ E. (szerk.): *Tanuló közösségek, közösségi tanulás*, CHERD, Debrecen. 51-70.
- BOEKEAMA, F., MORGAN, K., BAKKERS, S. and RUTTEN, R. (2000): *Knowledge, Innovation and Economic Growth: The Theory and Practice of Learning Regions*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham.
- CAPELLO, R. (1999): *Spatial transfer of knowledge in high technology milieux: learning versus collective learning processes*. *Regional Studies*, 33. 5. sz. 353-365.
- CHATTERTORN, P. and – GODDARD, J. (2000): The response of higher education to regional needs. *European Journal of Education*, 35. 4. sz.
- CARAYANNIS, E. G., CAMPBELL, D. F. J. (2009): “Mode 3” and “Quadruple Helix”: Toward a 21st Century Fractal Innovation Ecosystem. *International Journal of Technology Management* 46. 3-4. sz. 201-234.
- CASTELLS, M. (2005 [1996]): *Az információ kora. Gazdaság, társadalom és kultúra. I. kötet: A hálózati társadalom kialakulása*. Gondolat–Infonia, Budapest.
- CLARKE, P. (2009): A practical guide to a radical transition: framing the sustainable learning community. *Education, Knowledge and Economy*, 3. 3. sz. 183-197.
- ENNAL, R. and GUSTAVSEN, B. (1999): Creating a new European development agenda: learning across cultures. *Concepts and Transformation*, 4. 1. sz.
- ERDEI G. és TEPERICS K. (2014): Adult Learning Activities as the Catalyst for Creating Learning Region. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Elsevier, 142. sz. 359-366.
- FARIS, R. and WHEELER, L. (2006): *Learning Communities of Place: Situating Learning Towns within a Nested Concept of Social Learning Environments*. Australian Learning Communities Conference, Brisbane. Available: <http://scholar.google.hu/scholar?q=Wheeler%2C+L.+and+R.+Faris+%282006%29.+Learn+g+Communities+of+Place%3A+Situating+Learning+Towns+Within+A+Nested+Concept+of>

+Social+Learning+Environments+Australian+Learning+Communities&btnG=&hl=hu&as_sdt=0%2C5.Downloaded,visited: 2015.01.09.

FLORIDA, R. (1999): The role of the university: leveraging talent, not technology. *Issues in Science and Technology*, **15**.4 sz. 67-73.

FLORIDA, R. (1995): Toward the learning region. *Futures*, **27**. 5 sz. 527-536.

GÁL Z. és ZSIBÓK Zs. (2013): Az egyetemek szerepvállalása a regionális innovációs rendszerekben és az innovációs kormányzásban. In: GÁL Z. (szerk.): *Innovációbarát kormányzás Magyarországon. A regionális innovációs fejlesztéspolitika kihívásai*. MTA KRTK Regionális Kutatások Intézete, Pécs. 187–217.

GÁL Z. (2010): The role of research universities in regional innovation: The case of Southern Transdanubia, Hungary. In: LONGWORTH N, OSBORNE M (eds.): *Perspectives on Learning Cities and Regions: Policy Practice and Participation*. Leicester. 84-106.

GÁL Zoltán (2013): Az innovációs kormányzás szakpolitikai vetületei. Az innováció központi és regionális irányítása Magyarországon. In: GÁL Z. (szerk.): *Innovációbarát kormányzás Magyarországon. A regionális innovációs fejlesztéspolitika kihívásai*. MTA KRTK Regionális Kutatások Intézete. Pécs. 59–102.

GÁL Z. és CSONKA L. (2007): *Specific analysis on the regional dimension of investment in research – case study report and database on the South Transdanubian region (Hungary)*. ERAWATCH, Brussels.

GÁL Z. and PTAČEK, P. (2011). *The role of mid-range universities in knowledge transfer: the case of nonmetropolitan regions in Central Eastern Europe*. European Planning Studies, forthcoming.

GEJEL, J. (2012): Towards Learning Communities. A guide compendium. EU Education and Culture DG, Available: <http://www.sosuaarhus-international.com/dokumenter/Xploit%20Finals/Xploit%20Guide%20%20Towards%20A%20Learning%20Community.pdf>, Downloaded, visited: 2015. 01.09.

GUNASEKARA, C. S. (2004) The Third Role of Australian Universities in Human Capital Formation. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, **26**. 3. sz. 329-343.

GUSTAVSEN, B., NYHAN, B. and ENNALS, R. (2007): *Learning together for local innovation: promoting learning regions*. CEDEFOP Reference series, CEDFOP, Luxembourg.

HASSINK, R. and KLAREING, C. (2012): The end of the learning region as we knew it; towards learning in space. *Regional studies*. **56**. 8. 1055-1066.

HOLLAND, B. A. (2001): Toward a definition and characterization of the engaged university. *Metropolitan Universities*, **2**. 3. 20-29.

HUGGINS, R. and JOHNSTON, A. (2009): The economic and innovation contribution of universities: a regional perspective. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy* **27**. sz. 1088 – 1106.

HUGGINS, R. and KITAGAWA, F. (2009): *Devolution and Knowledge Transfer from Universities: Perspectives from Scotland and Wales*. Discussion Paper: Impact of Higher Education Institutionson Regional Economies Initiative

JOHNSON, B. (1992): Institutional Learning. In.: B.-Å Lundvall (ed.): *National Systems of Innovation: Towards a Theory of Innovation and Interactive Learning*. Pinter Publishers. London.

KIMBERLEY, H. (2003): Urban Disadvantage and Learning Communities: Integrating Report. *OVAL Research Working Paper*, 03-21. The Australian Centre for Organizational, Vocational and Adult Learning, The University of Technology, Sydney. Available:

- <http://pandora.nla.gov.au/pan/41206/200403310000/www.oval.uts.edu.au/publications/0321kimberley.pdf>, Downloaded, visited: 2015.01.09.
- KITAGAWA, F. (2004): Universities and Regional Advantage: Higher Education and Innovation Policies in English Regions. *European Planning Studies*, **12**, 6. sz. 835-852.
- KOZMA, T. (2010): „Tanulórégiók.” In: FENYŐ, I. és RÉBAY M. (szerk.): *Felszántatlan területeken*. Csokonai Kiadó, Debrecen. 203–225.
- LANDABASO, M.- OUGHTON, C. and MORGAN, K. (1999): *Learning Regions in Europe: Theory, Policy and Practice Through the RIS Experience*. Austin, Texas.
- LENGYEL B. – LUKÁCS E.- SOLYMÁRI G. (2006): A külföldi érdekeltségű vállalkozások és az egyetemek kapcsolatai Győrött, Miskolcon és Szegeden. *Tér és Társadalom*, **20**, 4. 127-144.
- LENGYEL I. (2007): Fejlesztési pólusok, mint a tudáslapú gazdaság kapuvárosai. *Magyar Tudomány*, 6. sz. 749-758.
- LONGWORTH, N. (2001): *Lifelong Learning and Learning Communities: A Vision for the Future*. Available: www.learndev.org/dl/VS3-00h-LL+LC.PDF Downloaded, visited: 2015. 01. 09.
- LUNDVALL, B.A. (ed.) (1992): *National Systems of Innovation: Towards a Theory of Innovation and Interactive Learning*. Pinter Publishers, London.
- MACKINNON, D. – CUMBERS-KEITH CHAPMAN, A. (2002): Learning, innovation and regional development: a critical appraisal of recent debates. In.: *Progress in Human Geography* **26**, 3. sz. 293-311.
- MALLEY, J. (2002): The Role of VET in Building Learning Communities for Disadvantaged Urban Groups. Urban Disadvantage and Learning Communities: Forum Paper, *Oval Research Working Paper*, University of Technology, Sydney. 3-22.
- MASKELL, P. (1999): Social Capital and Competitiveness. In.: BARON, S., FIELD, J. and Schuller, T. (eds.): *Social Capital: Critical Perspectives*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- MASKELL, P., and MALMBERG, A. (1999): Localised Learning and Industrial Competitiveness. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 23. sz. 167-185.
- MCNULTY, D. (2005): *Dreams, Dialogues and Desires: Building a Learning Community in Blackburn with Darwin*. National Institute of Adult Continuing Education, NCVER, Leicester.
- MELVILLE, B. (2003): *Involvement of VET in Learning Communities: Relevance for Urban Areas*. University of Technology, Sydney. Available: <https://www.ala.asn.au/conf/2003/melville.pdf>, Downloaded, visited: 2015.01. 09.
- MORGAN, A. (2009): Learning communities, cities and regions for sustainable development and global citizenship. *Local Environment*, **14**, 5. sz. 443-459.
- NIACE, (1998): *Practice, Progress and Value – Learning Communities: Assessing the Value They Add*. UK Learning City Network and Department for Education and Employment, London. Available: <http://www.lifelonglearning.co.uk/learningcities/toolkit.pdf>, Downloaded, visited: 2015.01.09.
- OECD (2001): *Cities and Regions in the New Learning Economy*. OECD, Paris.
- WHEELER, L., PHILIPS, I. és WHITE, K. (2005): Hume Global Learning Village; Learning Together Strategy: 2004/2008. Evaluation. A Report on Progress to Date. *Adult Learning Australia*, Hume. Canberra. Available: <https://ala.asn.au/public/docs/media/HumeEvaluationReportfinal2005.pdf>, Downloaded, visited: 2015. 01.09.
- PÁLNÉ KOVÁCS I.(2009): Régiók és fejlesztési koalíciók. *Politikatudományi Szemle* **18**, 4.sz. 37–60.

- RECHNITZER J. és SMAHÓ M. (szerk.) (2007): *Unirégió — Egyetemek a határ menti együttműködésben*. MTA RKK, Pécs-Győr.
- SRINIVAS, S. and VILJAMAA, K. (2008): Emergence of Economic Institutions: Analysing the Third Role of Universities in Turku, Finland. *Regional Studies*, 42.sz. 323–341.
- TEMESI I. (2011): *A középszintű közigazgatási rendszerek nemzetközi összehasonlítása*. Regionalizáció és regionalizmus. Budapesti Corvinus Egyetem. Available: http://www.opten.hu/vhosts/corvinus/pdf/2011_2_03_TemesiI.pdf, Downloaded, visited: 2015.01.05.
- VARGA A. (2004) Az egyetemi kutatások regionális gazdasági hatásai a nemzetközi szakirodalom tükrében. *Közgazdasági Szemle* 3. sz., 259–275.
- VARGA A. (ed.) (2009): *Universities, Knowledge Transfer and Regional Development: Geography, Entrepreneurship and Policy*. Edward Elgar Publishers
- WOLFE, D. A. (2001): *Social capital and cluster development in learning regions*. University of Toronto, Toronto.
- WONG, S. (2002): Learning Cities: Building 21st Century Communities through Lifelong Learning. A Report on the Travelling Scholarship awarded by the Department of Employment, Training and Tertiary Education of the Victorian Government, Geelong. Available: www.statvoks.no/focal/learningcities.doc Downloaded, visited: 2015.01.09.
- WRIGHT, M., CLARYSSE, B., LOCKETT A and KNOCKAERT, M. (2008): Mid-range universities' linkages with industry: Knowledge types and the role of intermediaries. *Research Policy*, 37. sz. 1205–1223.
- YARNIT, M. (2006): *Building Local Initiatives for Learning, Skills and Employment: Testbed Learning Communities Reviewed*. National Institute of Adult Continuing Education, Leicester.

Chapter 2

- ABRAHAMSSON, K. (1984): Does the Adult Majority Create New Patterns of Student Life? Some Experiences of Swedish. *European Journal of Education*, 19. 3. sz. 283–298.
- ARCHER, M. S. (1982): Introduction: Theorising about the expansion of educational systems. In: Archer Margaret S. (ed.) *The Sociology of Educational Expansion: Take-Off, Growth and Inflation in Educational Systems*. Sage, London.
- ASLANIAN, C. B. és BRICKNELL, H. M. (1980): *Americans in Transition: life changes as reasons for adults learning*. College Entrance Examination Board, New York.
- BENKEI-KOVÁCS B. (2014): *Az előzetes tudás rendszereinek komparatív andragógiai vizsgálata*. ELTE Az Élethosszig Tartó Művelődésért Alapítvány, ELTE, Budapest.
- BLAIR, A., MCPAKE, J. and MUNN, P. (1995): A New Conceptualisation of Adult Participation in Education. *British Educational Research Journal*, 21. 5. sz. 629–644.
- BOK, D. (2003): *Universities in Marketplace. The Commercialization of Higher Education*. Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford.
- BRELOER, G., EVERS, R, LADAS, H. UND LEVERMANN, U. (eds.) (2001): *Studium im Alter aus Sicht der Lehrenden und Jüngeren Studierenden*. Waxmann Münster, Berlin-New York-München. 6–15.
- BUKUS B. (2011): A migráns háttérű tanulók körére vonatkozó fogalmak és az adatgyűjtés összefüggésszerkezete. *Iskolakultúra*, 1. 99–107.
- CANADIAN COUNCIL ON LEARNING (2010): The 2010 Composite Learning Index (CLI) University of Ottawa, Canada, Ottawa.

- DERÉNYI A. és TÓT É. (2011): *Validáció. A hozott tudás elismerése a felsőoktatásban*. Oktatókutatató és Fejlesztő Intézet, Budapest.
- DUKE, C. (1996): Fejlődésorientált felnőttoktatás az új felsőfokú oktatási rendszerben. In: HINZEN, H. (szerk.): *Fejlődésorientált felnőttoktatás*. Német Népfőiskolai Szövetség Nemzetközi Együttműködési Intézete, Budapest.
- ENGLER Á. (2011): *Kisgyermekes nők a felsőoktatásban*. Gondolat, Budapest.
- ENGLER Á. (2014): *Hallgatói metszetek. A felsőoktatás felnőtt tanulói*. CHERD-H, Debrecen.
- ENGLER Á., TÖZSÉR Z. és SZILÁGYI Gy. (2012): A felsőoktatás tömegesedése, különös tekintettel a nem nappali tagozatos hallgatókra a Bihar-Bihor tanulórégióban, 1990-2010., *Hungarian Educational Research Journal*, 2. 1. sz.
- FARKAS É. (2014): *A rejtett tudás. A nem formális környezetben szerzett tanulási eredmények hitelesítése*. SZTE JGYPK FI, Szeged.
- FEISCHMIDT M. és NYÍRI P. (2006) (szerk.): *Nem kívánt gyerekek? Külföldi gyerekek magyar iskolákban*. MTA Etnikai-nemzeti Kisebbségkutató Intézet, Budapest.
- FORRAY R. K. és KOZMA T. (2009): Felnőttek a felsőoktatásban. In: ZRINSZKY L. (szerk.): *A megújuló felnőttképzés. Tanulmányok a neveléstudományok köréből*. Gondolat, Budapest. 99-107.
- FORRAY R. K. és HEGEDŰS T. A. (2001): Oktáspolitikai változások a cigány gyerekek iskoláztatásában. In: ANDOR M. (szerk.): *Romák és oktatás. Iskolakultúra-könyvek 8*. Pécs.
- GRAESSNER, G., BADE-BECKER U. UND GORYS, B. (2009). Weiterbildung an Hochschulen. In: TIPPELT, R. és HIPPEL, A. (eds.): *Handbuch Erwachsenenbildung und Weiterbildung*. Verlag für Socialwissenschaften, Wiesbaden. 543-557. p.
- HAVAS G., KEMÉNY I. és LISKÓ I. (2001): *Cigány gyerekek az általános iskolában*. Oktatókutatató Intézet, Budapest.
- HARLAND, T. és PICKERING, N. (2011): *Values in Higher Education Teaching*. Routledge, London – New York.
- HRUBOS I. (1999): *A felsőoktatás dilemmái a tömegessé válás időszakában*. Educatio Füzetek. Oktatókutatató Intézet, Budapest.
- HUBIG, C. (2002). Kompetenz und Wissen – Benötigt die Wissensgesellschaft ein eneeue Profilierung der Universitäten? In: ELM, R. (ed.). *Universität zwischen Bildung und Business. Mit einem Anhang zur europäischen Bildungspolitik*. Projekt Verlag, Bochum. 37-49.
- HURTADO, S. (2007). The Study of College Impact. In: GUMPORT, P. J. (ed.). *Sociology of Higher Education. Contributions and their Contexts* Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore. 94–113.
- KERÜLŐ J. (2009): Akkor most tanuljak vagy ne? A tanulási motivációról. In: HENCZI L. (szerk.) *Felnőttoktató. A felnőttek tanításának-tanulásának elmélete és gyakorlata*. Nemzeti Tankönyvkiadó, Budapest. 263-268.
- KERÜLŐ J. (2013). A felnőttkori tanulás kudarcainak lehetséges okai. *Szakképzési Szemle*, 29. 3. sz. 43-59.
- KOZMA T. (2004): *Kié az egyetem? A felsőoktatás nevelésszociológiája*. Új Mandátum Könyvkiadó, Budapest.
- LADÁNYI J. (1994): *Rétegződés és szelekció a felsőoktatásban*. Educatio, Budapest.
- MARGINSON, S. (2007): Globalisation, the „Idea of a University” and its Ethical Regimes. *Higher Educational Management and Policy*, 19. 1. sz. 31-45.
- MARÓTI A. (2002): Hatékonyabbá tehető-e a levelezős oktatás? In: KATONA A., LÁDI L., SZÉPLAKI GY. és SZOMBATINÉ KOVÁCS M. (szerk.) *A tanári mesterség gyakorlata*.

Tanárképzés és tudomány. Nemzeti Tankönyvkiadó – ELTE Tanárképző Főiskolai Kar, Budapest.

PECHAR, H., WROBLEWSKI, A. (2000): The enduring myth of the full-time student: an exploration of the reality of participation patterns in Austrian universities. In: Schuetze, H. és Slowey, M. (ed.): *Higher education and lifelong learners*. Routledge-Falmer, London and New York. 28-47.

PUSZTAI G. (2011): *A láthatatlan kéztől a baráti kezekig. Hallgatói értelmező közösségek.* Új Mandátum Könyvkiadó, Budapest.

RAUHVARGERS, A. (2011): *Global university rankings and their impact.* European University Association, Brussels.

RICHARDSON, J. T. és KING, E. (1998): Adult Students in Higher Education: Burden or Boon? *The Journal of Higher Education*, **69**. 1. sz. 65-88.

RUBINS, I. (2007): Risks and Rewards of Academic Capitalism and the Effects of Presidential Leadership in the Entrepreneurial University. *Perspectives in Public Affairs*, 4. sz. 3-18.

SCHOOF, U., BLINN, M., SCHLEITER, A., RIBBE, E. und WIEK, J. (2011): *Deutscher Lernatlas. Ergebnisbericht 2011.* Bertelsmann Stiftung, Gütersloh.

SCHUETZE, H. G. and SLOWEY, M. (2000): Traditions and new directions in higher education. In: SCHUETZE, H. G. and SLOWEY, M. (eds.): *Higher education and lifelong learners*. Routledge-Falmer, London and New York. 3-24.

SCHUETZE, H. G. and SLOWEY, M. (2002): Participation and exclusion: a comparative analysis of non-traditional students and lifelong learners in Higher Education. *Higher Education*, **44**. 3-4. sz. 309-327.

SENGE, P. M. (2000): Die Hochschule als lernende Gemeinschaft. In: LASKE, S., SCHEYTT, T. MAISTER-SCHEYTT, C. und SCHARMER, C. (eds.). *Universität im 21. Jahrhundert.* Reiner Hampp, München, 18-43.

Statisztikai tájékoztató oktatási évkönyv 2010/2011 (2011). Budapest, NEMFI.

TASKER, M. and PACKHAM, D. (1993): Industry and Higher Education. The Question of Values. *Studies in Higher Education*, **18**. 2. sz. 127-138.

VEROSZTA Zsuzsanna (2010): *Felsőoktatási értékek – hallgatói szemmel. A felsőoktatás küldetésére vonatkozó hallgatói értékstruktúrák feltárása.* PhD értekezés. Budapesti Corvinus Egyetem, Társadalomtudományi Kar, Szociológiai Doktori Iskola, Budapest.

WOLFGANG, M.E. and DOWLING, W.D. (1981): Differences in motivation of adult and younger undergraduates. *The Journal of Higher Education*, **52**. 640-648.

WOLTER, A. (2000): Non-traditional students in German higher education: situation, profiles, policies and perspectives. In: SCHUETZE, H. G. and SLOWEY, M. (eds.): *Higher education and lifelong learners*. Routledge-Falmer, London and New York. 49-66.

ZUBER-SKERRITT, O. (1998): Helping Postgraduate Research Students Learn. *Higher Education*, **16**. 1. sz. 75-94.

Chapter 3

- A TÁRSADALMI HALADÁS MUTATÓSZÁMRENDSZERE, KSH, Budapest Available: http://www.ksh.hu/thm/2/indi2_3_2.html
- BALÁZSI I., OSTORICS L., SZALAY B. és SZEPESI I. (2010): *PISA 2009 Összefoglaló jelentés. Szövegértés tíz év távlatában*. Oktatási Hivatal, Budapest.
- BENKE M. (2013): A tanuló régiók, a tanuló közösségek és a szakképzés. *Szakképzési Szemle*, **29**. 3. sz. 5-21.
- BENKE, M.(2014):A tanuló régióktól a tanuló közösségekig.In: JUHÁSZ E. (szerk.):*Tanuló közösségek, közösségi tanulás.A tanuló régió kutatás új eredményei*.CHERD,Debrecen.51-70.
- BEVÖLKERUNGSSTAND BAYERNS AM 30. SEPTEMBER 2011. Bayerisches Landesamt für Statistik und Datenverarbeitung. Statistische Berichte. Available: <https://www.statistik.bayern.de/veroeffentlichungen/download/A1100C%20201143/A1100C%20201143.pdf>
- DELORS, J. (1997): „Oktatás – rejtett kincs”. Osiris – Magyar UNESCO Bizottság, Budapest.
- EURYDICE (2007): *Non-Vocational Adult Education in Europe*. Eurydice, Brussels.
- FALUVÉGI A. (2008): *Tájékoztató a kiemelten támogatott kistérségekről*, KSH, Budapest.
2001. ÉVI NÉPSZÁMLÁLÁS ADATAI, KSH, Budapest.
- GYÖRGYI Z. (2003): *Tanulás felnőtt korban*. Kutatás közben 241. Oktatókutató Intézet, Budapest.
- GYÖRGYI Z. (2012): *Képzés és munkaerőpiac*, Új Mandátum, Budapest.
- INTERNATIONAL STANDARD CLASSIFICATION OF EDUCATION. (1975) Abridged Edition. UNESCO, Paris.
- KIMBERLEY, H. (2003): *Urban Disadvantage and Learning Communities: Integrating Report. OVAL Research Working Paper*, 03-21, The Australian Centre for Organizational, Vocational and Adult Learning, The University of Technology, Sydney.
- KSH TÁJÉKOZTATÁSI ADATBÁZIS Available: <http://statinfo.ksh.hu/Statinfo/haViewer.jsp>
- MÁRKUS, E. (2013): Az általános célú felnőttképzés elméleti megközelítései. *Felnőttképzési Szemle*, **7**. 2. sz. 5-15.
- MÁRKUS, E. (2014): Az általános célú felnőttképzést végző intézmények és programjaik regionális megközelítésben. *Képzés és Gyakorlat*, **12**. 3-4. sz. 5-25.
- MELVILLE, B. (2003): *Involvement of VET in Learning Communities: Relevance for Urban Areas*. University of Technology, Sydney. Available: <https://www.ala.asn.au/conf/2003/melville.pdf>, Downloaded, visited: 2013.08. 29.
- NÉPSZÁMLÁLÁS 2011 – Területi adatok – 3.9. Hajdú-Bihar megye
- NÉPSZÁMLÁLÁS 2011 – Területi adatok – 3.16. Szabolcs-Szatmár-Bereg megye
- OECD (2010): *Education at a Glance, 2010*. OECD, Paris. Available: <http://www.oecd.org/edu/eag2010>
- ORSZÁGOS KOMPETENCIAMÉRÉS, 2009: *Országos jelentés*. Available: http://www.ohkir.gov.hu/okmfit/files/OKM_2009_Orszagos_jelentes.pdf
- OSAP 1665 ADATBÁZIS. Available: <https://statisztika.nive.hu/>
- POLÓNYI I.–TÍMÁR J. (2001): *Tudásgyár vagy papírgyár*. Új Mandátum, Budapest.
- SAISANA, M. (2010): ELLI-Index: a sound measure for lifelong learning in the EU. European Commission Joint Research Centre Institute for the Protection and Security of the Citizen. Available: http://www.deutscher-lernatlas.de/fileadmin/user_upload/Projekt/Publikation_unter_Ergebnisse/Saisana_JRCvalidation_ELLI.pdf

SZ. TÓTH. J. (é.n.): *Az általános felnőttképzés fejlesztési koncepciója*. Magyar Népfőiskolai Társaság, Budapest In: Magyar Népfőiskolai Társaság Available: http://www.nepfoiskola.hu/MNT_hu/downloads/dokumentumok/archiv/felnkep.rtf, Downloaded, visited: 2013. 07.12.

TÖRÖK B. (2006): Felnőtkori tanulás – célok és akadályok. *Educatio*, **15**. 2. sz. 333-347.

2001. évi CI. törvény a felnőttképzésről In: *Magyar Közlöny*, 2001. évi 153. sz. 11412-11418.

2013. évi LXXVII. törvény a felnőttképzésről In: *Magyar Közlöny*, 2013. évi 96. sz. 54681-54701.

Chapter 4

- AGB NIELSEN MEDIA RESEARCH (2012): *Az ismeretterjesztő csatornák közönségarányának alakulása 2005-2012 között, különböző célcsoportokban*. AGB Nielsen, Budapest.
- ALTENMÜLLER, E. (2006): *Musikalisches Lernen und Hirnentwicklung*. Available: http://www.clubofrome.de/schulen/schulen/downloads/altenmueller_musikalisches_lernen_hirnentwicklung.pdf, Downloaded, visited: 2014. 12. 08.
- BAJOMI-LÁZÁR P. (2000): *Közszolgálati rádiózás Nyugat-Európában*. Új Mandátum Kiadó, Budapest.
- BELLAVICS I. (2000): *Kulturális világjelentés: kultúra, kreativitás, piac 1998*. Osiris Kiadó, Budapest.
- BLÉNESI É., MANDEL K. és SZARKA L. (2005): *A kultúra világa: a határon túli magyar kulturális intézményrendszer*. MTA Etnikai - Nemzeti Kisebbségkutató Intézet, Budapest.
- BROWN, J. D., STEELE, J. R. and WALSH-CHILDERS, K. (2002): Introduction and overview. In: BROWN, J. D., STEELE, J. R. and WALSH-CHILDERS, K. : *Sexual teens, sexual media: Investigating media's influence on adolescent sexuality*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Inc., Mahwah NJ, 1-24.
- CANADIAN COUNCIL ON LEARNING (2010): *The 2010 Composite Learning Index (CLI)*. University of Ottawa, Canada, Ottawa.
- COMSTOCK, G. and SCHARRER, E. (1999): *Television: What's on, who's watching and what it means*. CA: Academic, San Diego.
- EMBERI ERŐFORRÁSOK MINISZTERIUMA (EMMI) (2012): *Kulturális statisztika 2011*. Available: kultstat.emmi.gov.hu, Downloaded, visited: 2014. 12. 15.
- EUROPEAN UNION (2011): *Cultural Statistics. Eurostat Pocketbooks*. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxemburg.
- EUROPEAN COMMISSION (2007): *Fehér könyv a sportról*. Available: http://ec.europa.eu/sport/documents/white-paper/whitepaper-short_hu.pdf , Downloaded, visited: 2012. 04. 30.
- FORRAY R. K. és JUHÁSZ E. (2009): A felnőttkori autonóm tanulás és tudáskorrekciós elköteleződés. In: FORRAY R. K. és JUHÁSZ E. (szerk.): *Nonformális – informális – autonóm tanulás*. Debreceni Egyetem, Debrecen 12-37.
- FREY, J. H. and EITZEN, D. S. (1991): Sport and Society. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 17. sz. 503-522.
- ISTVÁNI Cs. (2005): Nevelés, testnevelés, sport. *Kalokagathia*, **43**, 1-2. sz. 15-26.
- JOHANSSOHN, K., TUROWETZ, A. and GRUNEAU, R. (1981): *Research Methods in the Sociology of Sport: Strategies and Problems*. Available: <http://ehis.ebscohost.com/eds/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?sid=7e9e1160-03a7-4474-a646-6ea2f0ba9ba9%40sessionmgr4&vid=4&hid=2> , Downloaded, visited: 2012. 05. 02.
- KOKAS K. (1972): *Képességfejlesztés zenei neveléssel*. Zeneműkiadó, Budapest
- KONCZ G., NÉMETH J. és SZABÓ I. (2008): *Közművelődési fogalomtár*. OKM, Budapest
- KOVÁCS T. A. (2007): *A rekreáció főbb irányzatai. Civilizációs kihívások, rekreációs válaszok*. Magyar Sporttudományi Szemle, 2. sz. 3-12.
- KROEBER, A. L. and KLUCKHOHN, C. (1952): *Culture. A Critical Review of the Concepts and Definitions*, Papers of Peabody Museum of Archeology and Ethnography, Harvard University, Cambridge.
- MANSFIELD, L. (2007): *Involved-Detachment: A Balance of Passion and Reason in Feminisms and Gender-related Research in Sport, Tourism and Sports Tourism*. Available:

- <http://ehis.ebscohost.com/eds/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?sid=7e9e1160-03a7-4474-a646-6ea2f0ba9ba9%40sessionmgr4&vid=5&hid=2> , Downloaded, visited: 2012. 05. 02.
- LOY, J. W. Jr (1974): A sport természete: meghatározási kísérlet. In: Schiller J.: *Sportpszichológia szöveggyűjtemény II.* Tankönyvkiadó, Budapest. 38-56.
- MARÓTI, A. (2005): *Sok szemszögből a kultúráról: Irányzatok a kultúra elméletében és filozófiájában.* Trefort, Budapest.
- NAVIGÁTOR DEBRECENI TÚRA KLUB EGYESÜLET (2014): Egyesületi honlap. Available: <http://www.navigatortura.hu/>, letöltési ideje: 2014. 12. 15.
- NEMZETI MÉDIA- ÉS HÍRKÖZLÉSI HATÓSÁG (2014): *Bejelentés alapján működő televízió szolgáltatók.* Available: www.mediatanacs.hu, Downloaded, visited: 2014. 12. 15.
- NEVILLE, H., ANDERSSON, A., BAGDADE, O., BELL, T., CURRIN, J., FANNING, J., KLEIN, S., LAUINGER – B., PAKULAK, E., PAULSEN, D., SABOURIN, L., STEVENS, C., SUNDBORG. S. and YAMADA. Y. (2008): Effects of music training on brain and cognitive development in underprivileged 3 to 5-year old children: preliminary results. In: ASBURY, C. and RICH, B. (szerk.): *Learning Arts and the Brain.* Dana Press, New York. 105–117.
- ORT FRANCE (2009): *Az Enhanced Learning Unlimited (ELU) projekt.* Available: <http://elearning.sztaki.hu/elu>, Downloaded, visited: 2014. 12. 04.
- RÉTHY E. (1988): *A tanítás-tanulási folyamat motivációs lehetőségeinek elemzése.* Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest.
- RÉTHY E. (2003): *Motiváció, tanulás, tanítás. Miért tanulunk jól vagy rosszul?* Nemzeti Tankönyvkiadó, Budapest.
- SALOMON, G. (1981): *Communication and education: Social and psychological interactions.* CA: Sage, Beverly Hills.
- SCHUMACHER, R. (2014): *Bessere Noten durch Musik?* Available: <http://www.lernwelt.at/downloads/machtmozartschlaudrralphschumacher.pdf>, Downloaded, visited: 2014. 12. 08.
- SIMON A. (2012): A fittség és a fizikai aktivitás szerepe a szívbetegség szekunder prevenciójában. In: Szóts G. (szerk.): *A fittség mértéke, mint a megbetegedések rizikóját befolyásoló tényező.* Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest. 24-35.
- SNYDER, E. and SPREITHER, E. (1981): Sport, Education and Schools. In: LÜSCHEN, G. és SAGE, G., Sfeir, L.: *Handbook of Social Science of Sport.* 119-146.
- SPORTEGYESÜLETEK ORSZÁGOS SZÖVETSÉGE (2014): *Közvetlen tagság.* Available: www.sosz.hu/kozvetlen-tagsag , Downloaded, visited: 2014. 12. 15.
- SZABÓ J. és KENYERES A.(2012): A helyi televíziók szerepe a személyes tanulásban. *Felnőttképzési Szemle*, 6. 2. sz. 59-72.
- SZENT-GYÖRGYI A. (2006): Az iskolai ifjúság testnevelése. *Mester és Tanítvány*, 3. 10. sz. 39-43. Available: <http://www.btk.ppke.hu/uploads/files/10.pdf> , Downloaded, visited: 2014. 12. 12.
- SZÉLES T., SZABÓ J., ROZGONYI L. ÉS BALLA É. (2011): *Digitális szép új világ.* Debreceni Mozgóképkultúra Alapítvány, Debrecen.
- THOMPSON, W.F., SCHELLENBERG, E. G. and HUSAIN, G. (2004): Decoding speech prosody: Do music lessons help? *Emotion*, 4. 1. sz. 46–64.
- TURMEZEYNE H. E. (2012): A zenei tehetség azonosításának rendszere, módszerei. *Parlando*, 54. 2. sz. Available: <http://www.parlando.hu/2012/2012-2/2012-2-03.htm>. Downloaded, visited: 2014. 12. 08.
- UNESCO (1998): *World Cultural Report.* Unesco, Paris.

UNESCO INSTITUTE FOR STATISTICS (2009): *The 2009 UNESCO Framework For Cultural Statistics (FCS)*. Montreal. Available: http://www.uis.unesco.org/Library/Documents/FCS09_EN.pdf, Downloaded, visited: 2014. 12. 15.

VAN EVRA, J. (2004): *Television and child development*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associated Publishers, Mahwah, New Jersey, London.

WASHINGTON, R. and KAREN, D. (2001): *Sport and Society*. 2001. Available: <http://letsbreal.files.wordpress.com/2009/02/sports.pdf>, Downloaded, visited: 2012. 05. 02.

2011. évi CXCV törvény a nemzeti köznevelésről

2013. évi LXXVII. törvény a felnőttképzésről

Chapter 5

BARABÁSI A. L. (2003): *Behálózva. A hálózatok új tudománya*. Magyar Könyvklub, Budapest.

BARCZA G. (2011): Adalékok az 1951. évi Tiszaföldvárra való kitelepítés történetéhez. *Tiszavilág* 5. 112-120.

BIRKET-SMITH, K. (1969): *A kultúra ösvényei*. Gondolat Kiadó, Budapest.

CSERTI CSAPÓ T.(2008):A civilek. In: FORRAY R. K.(szerk.): *A cigány/roma fiatalok munkaerőpiaci esélyei és a munkanélkülieket segítő non-profit szervezetek szerepe az esélyek növelésében a Dél-Dunántúlon*.PTE Romológia és Nevelésszociológia Tanszék, Pécs.123-154.

CSEH G. (2007): Az 1956-os forradalom eseményei Tiszaföldváron a levéltári iratok tükrében. *Tiszavilág* 2. 81-111.

KÖZPONTI STATISZTIKA HIVATAL (2011): *Demográfai Évkönyv*. Központi Statisztika Hivatal, Budapest.

FEHÉRVÁRI A. (szerk.) (2008): *Szakképzés és lemorzsolódás*. OFI, Budapest.

FERENCZI Gy. (szerk.) (1997): *A tiszaföldvári Hajnóczy József Gimnázium és Szakközépiskola jubileumi évkönyve 1947--1997*. Hajnóczy József Gimnázium és Humán Szakközépiskola, Tiszaföldvár.

FORRAY R. K (2012): The Situation of the Roma/Gypsy Communities in Hungary. In: *HERJ -Hungarian Educational Research Journal*, 2. 2. sz. Available: <http://herj.hu/2012/06/forray-r-katalin-a-ciganyroma-kozosseg-helyzete-magyarorszagon/>, Downloaded, visited: 2015.01.11.

FORRAY R. K. és KOZMA T. (2013): Közösségi tanulás és társadalmi átalakulás. *Iskolakultúra*, 22. 10. sz. 3-21.

HÍVES T. (2006): A hátrányos helyzet az oktatás területi kutatásában. In: Mérleg, 2002-2006, *Educatio*, 15.1. sz. 169-174.

JÓZSA L. (1997): *A kunszentmártoni nagy iskola története*. Helytörténeti Múzeum, Kunszentmárton.

KOZMA T. (1987): *Iskola és település*. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest.

KOZMA T. (2006): „Change agents in East/Central Europe.” In: TJELDVOLL A. and NAGY. P. T. et al (eds.): *Balkan Higher Education Challenged to Change*. Studies in Educational Management Research 19. Centre for Educational Management, Oslo. 119-34.

MOLNÁR E. (2014): Gazdasági útkeresés a tanulás jegyében: egy alföldi kisváros esete. *Educatio*, 23.3. sz. 462-471.

NEMES G. és VARGA Á. (2014): Gondolatok a vidékfejlesztésről – alrendszerrel találkozása, társadalmi tanulás és innováció. *Educatio*, 23. 3. sz. 384-393.

- ÖRSI J. (2002): Kulcsemberek: A kisvárosi értelmiség arculatformáló ereje. In: ÖRSI J. (szerk.): *Kulcsemberek*. Kulturális Egyesület, Túrkeve. 300-316.
- PUSZTAI G. (2010): A gyáripár kialakulásának esélyei egy alföldi kisvárosban, Kunszentmártonban a 19. század végétől a 20. század közepéig. In: HEGEDŰS K., KOVÁCSNÉ KAPOSVÁRI Gy., PUSZTAI G. és PUSZTAI Zs. (szerk.): *Peremlétben? Félúton. A Tiszazug néprajzi, történeti, földrajzi kutatása az ezredfordulón*. 57-77.
- SHARP G. (2002): *From Dictatorship to Democracy*. Albert Einstein Institution, Boston.
- SZÓKE J. (1998): *A Józsefvárosi Tanoda*. Soros oktatási füzetek. Soros Alapítvány, Budapest. 50.
- VARGA A. (1999): Amrita – egy diák-társadalmi szervezet. *Tani-tani* **9**. 56-64.
- WATSON P. and BARBER B. (1990): *The Struggle for Democracy*. Allen, Unwin, London.
- ZÁVADA P. (1997): *Jadviga párnája*. Magvető Kiadó, Budapest.

Chapter 6

- ANSELIN L. (1995): Local indicators for spatial association-LISA. *Geographical Analysis*, 27. 93-115.
- BECSEI J. (2001): Fejezetek az általános társadalmi földrajz tanulmányozásához. Ipszilon Kiadó, Budapest.
- CLI: Available: <http://www.cli-ica.ca/en.aspx>, Downloaded, visited: 2015. 01. 06.
- DLA: Available: <http://www.bertelsmann-stiftung.de>, Downloaded, visited: 2014. 12. 01.
- ELLI: Available: <http://www.elli.org/>, Downloaded, visited: 2014. 12. 11.
- EMBERI ERŐFORRÁSOK MINISZTERIUMA: *Kulturális statisztikai rendszere* <http://kultstat.emmi.gov.hu/> Utolsó Downloaded, visited: 2015. 01. 05.
- E-NET INTERNETKUTATÓ ÉS TANÁCSADÓ KFT (2011): Available: www.enet.hu, Downloaded, visited: 2015. 01. 05.
- GEOX (2011): Available: <https://www.teir.hu/rqdist>, Downloaded, visited: 2015. 01. 05.
- KSH NÉPSZÁMLÁLÁSI ADATOK, 2011 Available: https://www.teir.hu/rqdist/main?rq_app=tdm_nd&rq_proc=main, Downloaded, visited: 2015. 01. 05.
- NEMES NAGY J. (2007): Kvantitatív társadalmi térelemzési eszközök a mai regionális tudományban. *Tér és Társadalom*, 21. 1. sz. 1-19.
- NEMZETI VÁLASZTÁSI IRODA Available: <http://valasztas.hu/>, Downloaded, visited: 2015. 01. 05.
- NIVE: Available: <https://www.nive.hu/>, Downloaded, visited: 2015. 01. 05.
- OSAP 1665: Available: <https://osap.nive.hu/>, Downloaded, visited: 2015. 01. 05.
- TEIR: Available: <https://www.teir.hu>, Downloaded, visited: 2015. 01. 05.
- TÓTH G. (2003): Területi autokorrelációs vizsgálat a Local Moran I módszerével. *Tér és Társadalom*, 17. 4. sz. 39-49.

Chapter 7

- AMBOS I., CONEIN S. und NUISSL E. (2002): *Lernende Regionen – Ein innovatives Programm*. DIE, Bonn
- BAKACSI, GY. BOKOR, A., CSÁSZÁR, CS., GELEI, A., KOVÁTS K. és TAKÁCS, S. (2004): *Stratégiai emberi erőforrás menedzsment*. KJK-KERSZÖV Kiadó, Budapest
- BATTY, M., AXHAUSEN, K., FOSCA, G., POZDNOUKHOV, A., BAZZANI, A., WACHOWICZ, M., OUZOUNIS, G. and PORTUGALI, Y. (2012): "Smart Cities of the Future". *European Journal of Physics, Special Topics*. 214. 481–518.
- CASTELLS, M. (2005): *A hálózati társadalom kialakulása I: Az információ kora*. Gondolat–Infonia, Budapest.
- CLARK, D. B., VARMA, K., McELHANEY, K. W., and CHIU, J. L. (2008): Design Rationale Within TELS Projects To Support Knowledge Integration. In: ROBINSON, D. AND SCHRAW, G. (eds.): *Recent Innovations in Educational Technology That Facilitate Student Learning*. Information Age Publishing, Charlotte, NC. 157-193.
- CANADIAN COUNCIL ON LEARNING (2010): *The 2010 Composite Learning Index. Five Years of Measuring Canada's Progress in Lifelong Learning*. Available: http://www.ccl-cca.ca/pdfs/CLI/2010/2010CLI-Booklet_EN.pdf, Downloaded, visited: 2015.01.05.
- CZIMRE K. (2006): Debrecen és a regionalizmus. *Debreceni Disputa*, 4. 5. sz. 4-11.
- DELORS, J. (1997): „Oktatás – rejtett kincs”. Osiris – Magyar UNESCO Bizottság, Budapest.
- DOYLE, L (2007): *The LILARA Project*. Available: http://www.eucen.eu/BeFlex/CaseStudies/UK_Stirling.pdf, Downloaded, visited: 2015.01.04
- ECKERT, T., PREISINGER-KLEINE, R., FARTUSNIC, C., HOUSTON, M., JUCEVIČIENĖ, P., DILLON, B., NEMETH, B., KLEISZ T., CESEVICIUTE, I., THINESSE-DEMEL, J., OSBORNE, M. and WALLIN,

- E. (2012): *Quality in Developing Learning Cities and Regions: A Guide for Practitioners and Stakeholders*. University of Munich, Munich.
- ELLI kutatás: Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140%2Fepjst%2Fe2012-01703-3>, Downloaded, visited: 2015.01.04.
- DLA kutatás: Available: <http://www.deutscher-lernatlas.de/>, Downloaded, visited: 2015.01.04.
- ELKER, I. et al. (2014): *Lernende Regionen in Österreich*. Österreichisches Institut für Erwachsenenbildung, Wien.
- FORRAY R. K. (1986): Mi az oktatásökológia? In: Forray R. K és Kozma T. (szerk.): *Oktatásökológia*. Oktatókutató Intézet, Budapest. 59-94.
- HÍVES T., KOZMA T., PUSZTAI G., RADÁCSI I. és RÉBAY M. (2004): *A felnőttképzési potenciál helyzete és várható változásai Magyarországon*. Available: <http://cherd.unideb.hu/kozmatamas>, Downloaded, visited: 2015.01.04
- HOSKINS, B., CARTWRIGHT, F. and SCHOOF, U. (2010): *The ELLI index Europe 2010. ELLI European lifelong learning indicators: Making lifelong learning tangible!* Germany: Bertelsmann Stiftung, Gütersloh. Available: <http://www.icde.org/European+ELLI+Index+2010.b7C wIDMWi.ips>, Downloaded, visited: 2015.01.04.
- HRONSZKY, I. (2005): Az innovációpolitika megalapozása evolucionista megközelítéssel. In: BUZÁS N. (szerk.): *Tudásmenedzsment és tudásalapú gazdaságfejlesztés*. JATEPress, Szeged. 13–33.
- LACHANCE M. (é.n): *Composite Learning Index*. Available: http://www.coe.int/t/dg3/socialpolicies/platform/Source/Seminar%202008/presentationSchoofLachance_fr.pdf, Downloaded, visited: 2015.01.03.
- LENGYEL, I. (2003): *Verseny és területi fejlődés: térségek versenyképessége Magyarországon*. JATEPress, Szeged.
- LONGWORTH, N. (2006): *Learning Cities, Learning Regions, Learning Communities*. Routledge, London.
- LUNDVALL, B. A. (ed.) (1992): *National Innovation Systems: Towards a Theory of Innovation and Interactive Learning*. Pinter Publishers, London.
- LUNDVALL, B. A. (1996): The Social Dimension of The Learning Economy. *DRUID Working Paper No.1*. <http://www3.druid.dk/wp/19960001.pdf> (Utolsó látogatás: 2015.01.04)
- OECD (2001): *Cities and Regions in the New Learning Economy*. OECD, Paris.
- OSBORNE, M., KEARNS, P. and YANG J. (2013): Learning inclusive, properous and sustainable urban communties. *International Review of Education*, **58**. 4. 12-36.
- PASKALEVA, K (2009). Enabling the smart city: The progress of e-city governance in Europe. *International Journal of Innovation and Regional Development*, **1**.4. 405–422.
- RAY, C. (1999): Towards a meta-framework of endogenous development. *Sociologia Ruralis*, **39**. 4. 521-538.
- RECHNITZER, J. (1993): *Szétszakadás vagy felzárkózás. A térszerkezet alakító innovációk*. MTA Regionális Kutató Központ, Győr.
- SCHOOF, U., BLINN, M., SCHLEITER, A., RIBBE, E. und WIEK, J. (2011): *Deutscher Lernatlas. Ergebnisbericht 2011*. Bertelsmann Stiftung, Gütersloh. Available: <https://www.bertelsmann-stiftung.de/en/publications/publication/did/deutscher-lernatlas-ergebnisbericht-2011/>, látogatás ideje: 2015. 01. 04.
- SÜLI-ZAKAR I. – HORGA, I. – ILIES, A., TÖMÖRI M. ÉS TOCA, C. V. (2011): Egy határon átívelő euroregionális kutató intézet hozzájárulása a magyar-román határ minőségi átalakulásához.

In: SÜLI-ZAKAR I. (szerk.): „*Falvaink sorsa*” és „*A városnövekedés szakaszai*”. Kossuth Egyetemi Kiadó, Debrecen. 15-45.

UNESCO (2014): *International Conference on Learning Cities*. (Conference Report). Unesco Institute of Education, Hamburg.

Main researchers of the „Learning Regions in Hungary” project:

Benke Magdolna
Bocsi Veronika
Cserti Csapó Tibor
Dusa Ágnes
Engler Ágnes
Erdei Gábor
Forray R. Katalin
Gál Zoltán
Györgyi Zoltán
Herczegh Judit
Híves Tamás
Juhász Erika
Kardos Katalin
Kenyeres Attila Zoltán
Kovács Klára
Kozma Tamás
Márkus Edina
Márton Sándor
Németh Nóra Veronika
Szabó Barbara
Szabó József
Szilágyiné Czimre Klára
Szűcs Tímea
Teperics Károly
Tózsér Zoltán

Web: <http://ni.unideb.hu/learn/>

Contents

1. Theoretical Backgrounds

Tamás Kozma

2. Pillar I: Formal Learning: Learning in the School System

Ágnes Engler and Zoltán Györgyi

3. Pillar II: Non-formal Learning: Learning outside the school

Zoltán Györgyi and Edina Márkus

4. Pillar III: Cultural Learning

Erika Juhász

5. Pillar IV: Community Learning

Katalin R Forray

6. The Territorial Characteristics of the Four Pillars

Károly Teperics

7. Learning Regions in Hungary: Summary

Tamás Kozma